



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/873,978	06/12/1997	JON F. KAYYEM	A-63761-1/RF	2465	
7590 11/30/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 3400			MARSCHEL, ARDIN H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631		
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 941114187			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	08/873,978	KAYYEM ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ardin Marschel	1631
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dad will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	September 2003.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 47,48,57,62-67,72 and 73 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 48,63, 66, 67, and 72 is/are allowed 6) Claim(s) 47,57,62,64,65, & 73 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
··· _		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		Eveminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Markey (A)		•
Attachment(s)	Λ □ Inta-: 0	(PTO 412)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 08/873,978

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, filed 9/26/03, have been fully considered and they are deemed to be persuasive to overcome rejections/objections of record. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. Unfortunately, upon reconsideration, the following rejections and/or objections are newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

STATUTORY 101 DOUBLE PATENTING

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 47 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 33 of copending Application No. 10/081,936. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

OBVIOUSNESS-TYPE DOUBLE PATENTING

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11

Application/Control Number: 08/873,978

Art Unit: 1631

F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 73 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3, 4, 9, 10, and 19-23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,096,273. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1, 3, etc. of said Patent contain embodiments in common with instant claim 73. In particular, claims 1, 3, etc. of said Patent cite the electrode, passavation agents monolayer in claims 9 and 10 which are thus embodiments of claims 1, 3, etc. due to claims 9 and 10 depending from claim 1 of said Patent. Such a monolayer passavation agents limitation is also cited in instant claim 73 with added limitations directed to comprising conductive oligomers, as in instant claim 72. Claims 9 and 10 of said Patent lack specifics of what is meant therein by a passavation agents monolayer thus requiring consultation to the specification as a Dictionary as to what is meant. In column 23, lines 35-65, the passavation agent definition clearly includes conductive oligomers and insulators therein as cited specifically in instant claim 73. It is also noted that the Dictionary explanation of covalent attachment of at least one nucleic acid with a spacer of various types of instant Application/Control Number: 08/873,978

Art Unit: 1631

claim 73 is required within said Patent. In said Patent in column 5, lines 23-48, said spacer linkage of nucleic acid is both depicted and described.

Claims 57, 62, 64, 65, and 73 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3, 4, 9, and 10 of copending Application No. 10/081,936. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each claim set contains common embodiments directed to various conductive oligomer containing compositions with nucleic acid. The above Dictionary analysis regarding monolayer passavation agent and spacer attachment to nucleic acid as in instant claim 73 also is equivalently present in corresponding sections of the specification of application No. 10/081,936.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 48, 63, 66, 67, and 72 are allowable.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (571) 272-0722.

- Application/Control Number: 08/873,978

Art Unit: 1631

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0549.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

November 26, 2004

Ardin I Maschel 11/26/04 Ardin H. Marschel Primary examiner